

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,379	STRANGE ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Árpád Fábán Kovács	3671	

All Participants:

(1) Árpád Fábán Kovács.

(2) Herbert L. Allen.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

17 & 20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant to correct some informalities, such as deleting the word "said" from the Abstract, changing "a lineie tag means" with "limiting means", and insert claim number 20, which inadvertently was left off.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)